

	SURFACE VEHICLE INFORMATION REPORT	SAE J2556 APR2010
		Issued 2000-09 Reaffirmed 2005-03 Revised 2010-04 Superseding J2556 MAR2005
Radiated Emissions (RE) Narrowband Data Analysis - Power Spectral Density (PSD)		

RATIONALE

This update is to address the latest reference information.

FOREWORD

In the context of the automotive industry, the present method of using only a limit line for determining module radiated emissions (e.g., per CISPR 25) acceptance is too simplistic and is not the most effective way to make competitive business decisions. The limit line approach does not address many real issues. Consider the following.

It is very difficult if not impractical to get the same results at each frequency from different test labs for radiated emissions due to the many variables involved. However it is possible to establish correlation between different labs on a statistical basis. Some technical papers have suggested a solution (e.g., per reference 2.1.2 a, b). For example, if only the highest emission levels are compared independent of the emission frequencies a higher degree of correlation is possible. Such an approach may be justified under the assumption that the test facility design does not significantly affect the total emissions power but mainly leads to the radiated power spectrum redistribution.

The simplistic approach of being below a limit line may still result in instances of a customer concern. For example, even if the RE is all below a limit line, it is more probable that a concern would exist if there are many spectral lines close to the limit (i.e., the spectral density is high). Another situation that may result in overdesign would be to fail a module that only had a few data points slightly over the limit but otherwise showed little emissions.

There are many differences between the module test setup and the vehicle configuration (e.g., harness configuration) and it is unlikely that there is a one to one correlation between the lab and the vehicle (at each frequency). For example, even if a module was below a limit line using the bench test configuration, in the vehicle there may be an entirely different configuration so that those frequencies that were below the limit line are now connected to a system which more effectively radiates and results in a customer concern (and conversely).

SAE Technical Standards Board Rules provide that: "This report is published by SAE to advance the state of technical and engineering sciences. The use of this report is entirely voluntary, and its applicability and suitability for any particular use, including any patent infringement arising therefrom, is the sole responsibility of the user."

SAE reviews each technical report at least every five years at which time it may be reaffirmed, revised, or cancelled. SAE invites your written comments and suggestions.

Copyright © 2010 SAE International

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of SAE.

TO PLACE A DOCUMENT ORDER: Tel: 877-606-7323 (inside USA and Canada)
 Tel: +1 724-776-4970 (outside USA)
 Fax: 724-776-0790
 Email: CustomerService@sae.org

 SAE WEB ADDRESS: http://www.sae.org

**SAE values your input. To provide feedback
 on this Technical Report, please visit
http://www.sae.org/technical/standards/J2556_201004**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	SCOPE.....	2
2.	REFERENCES.....	2
2.1	Related Publications	2
2.1.1	CISPR Publication.....	2
2.1.2	Other Publications.....	2
3.	PROCEDURE	2
3.1	General	2
3.2	PSD Applicability.....	3
3.3	PSD Calculation	3
3.4	Implementation Examples.....	3
3.4.1	For high frequency type signal emissions (e.g., clock harmonics):	3
3.4.2	For low frequency type signal emissions (e.g., switching power supply harmonics):.....	4
4.	NOTES	4
4.1	Marginal Indicia	4

1. SCOPE

This SAE Information Report defines a procedure for indicating the severity of narrowband emissions from an electronic system-component.

2. REFERENCES

2.1 Related Publications

The following publications are provided for information purposes only and are not a required part of this SAE Technical Report.

2.1.1 IEC Publication

Available from American National Standards Institute, 25 West 43rd Street, New York, NY 10036-8002, Tel: 212-642-4900, www.ansi.org.

CISPR 25 Vehicles, Boats and Internal Combustion Engines - Radio Disturbance Characteristics - Limits and Methods of Measurement for the Protection of On-Board Receivers

2.1.2 Other Publications

- 1987 IEEE Symposium - "Statistical EMC: A New Dimension in EMC of Digital Electronic Systems"
- 1992 IEEE Symposium - "Qualification of Radiated EMI Test Sites Using Statistical Methods"
- 1996 ITEM - "Signal Processing in Radiated EMI Measurements," Werner Schaefer

3. PROCEDURE

3.1 General

This procedure uses the limit line as only one part of a decision for acceptance. Another important parameter to consider is the total amount of power available to excite the vehicle wiring. The following presents one possible concept for addressing the issues raised.

3.2 PSD Applicability

- a. If there are narrowband (discrete peaks) emissions above a Preferred Limit and any peak does not exceed a defined level (e.g., 6 dB) over this Preferred Limit.
- b. There is a high density of spectral lines near the limit (e.g., within 3 dB).

3.3 PSD Calculation

- a. Consider the data points in terms of $(x/L)^2$ where x is the value of the data point in linear terms (microvolts/m) and L is the preferred limit at the frequency of the data point. For example, if a data point is 20 dB microvolts/m (10 microvolts/m) and the preferred limit at that frequency is 10 dB microvolts/m (3.2 microvolts/m), the $(x/L)^2$ value would be $(10/3.2)^2 = 9.8$.
- b. By taking $(x/L)^2$, the method can be applied even if the limit is not a constant value - i.e., it can be applied on a sloping limit line since the specific limit at a specific frequency can be used.
- c. The squaring gives exponentially more weight to data points that are high relative to a preferred limit. It also gives an indication of spectral power hence the PSD designation (receivers are sensitive to power impinging on their antenna).
- d. Compute Power Spectral Density (PSD):

$$\text{PSD} = \sum (x/L)^2 / (\text{Frequency Span/Resolution}) \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

A total sum of the squared ratio of the emission level to the limit at each of the sample frequencies shall be accumulated for the frequency range being evaluated. This sum shall then be scaled by dividing by the ratio of the width of the frequency range evaluated divided by the spacing of the sample frequencies.

- e. For higher frequencies, the Frequency Span should be a "sliding frequency window".
- f. This concept is relatively easy to automate. A key is to properly recognize the peaks in the RE data. Reference 2.1.2 c addresses this issue.

3.4 Implementation Examples

The following examples are for illustrative purposes, each company will need to access the correct Frequency Span and Resolution based on their data and experience.

3.4.1 For high frequency type signal emissions (e.g., clock harmonics):

- a. Sliding Frequency Window (Span) = 50 MHz, Frequency Resolution = 1 MHz, therefore Span/ Resolution = 50. Report the highest PSD.
- b. The 50 MHz span is chosen since the radiating test harness is assumed to be 1.5 meters. At that length, it has a quarter wavelength resonance every 50 MHz. Therefore the 50 MHz span will encompass the emissions contained within these major resonance "humps".
- c. Acceptance Criteria:

Assumption = PSD should be no worse than if all potential data points (assume data points are at 1 MHz intervals) are at $x/L = 0.7$ (3 dB below limit), the criteria would be:

$$\text{PSD} = [(\# \text{ data points }) (x/L)^2] / (\text{Span/Resolution}) = [(50)(0.7^2)] / [50 \text{ MHz}/1 \text{ MHz}] = 0.5 \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$